

ANNA STRASSER, MATTHEW CROSBY, ERIC SCHWITZGEBEL



OVERVIEW

MOTIVATION

Why do philosophers get involved with AI? Can a language model represent a philosopher?



TECHNIQUE

What is a GPT-3? How to fine-tune GPT-3 & use a fine-tuned GPT-3?



Limits & Risks

Limitations of neuronal networks Legal and ethical questions

What we did

Fine-tuning | prompt engineering | evaluating

Results

5

Distinguishing & evaluating machinegenerated output





Can we build machines with which we can have interesting conversations?

AMBITIOUS GOAL:

We want to find out how far we can get in creating a digital replica of a philosopher.

- ightarrow investigating of how the best model can be built & used
- → exploring the limits and risks which are accompanied by the creation of digital replicas



several pilot experiments with GPT-3's Currie engine

- fine-tuning with Kant's work in English translation
- fine-tuning with a collection of philosophical blog posts



Two Robot-Generated Splintered Mind Posts





What is a GPT-3?

a neural network trained to predict the next likely word in a sequence





Applications using GPT-3



For an overview of applications, see https://beta.openai.com/examples

According to an opinion piece in *MIT Technology Review*, GPT-3 is "shockingly good – and completely mindless" (Heaven 2020)



Beware of limits & risks

GPT-3 IS A DEEP LEARNING SYSTEM

- deals only with text
- limited input & output sizes (2048 linguistic tokens, roughly 1500 words)
- lacks any form of memory
 - → we cannot expect GPT-3 to succeed in text-related tasks which require a larger amount of context knowledge than can be captured by the limited input size
- problems with reliability & interpretability
 - ightarrow we cannot presuppose that all outputs of a GPT-3 will be acceptable

OFTEN, WE CANNOT PREDICT HOW SUCH A SYSTEM WILL REACT TO NEW INPUT

BIASES IN THE DATA LEAD THE MODEL TO GENERATE STEREOTYPED OR PREJUDICED CONTENT



 REMAIN SKEPTICAL BECAUSE OUTPUTS CAN BE SUBTLY FLAWED OR UNTRUE

> NEVER USE THEM IN CONTEXTS WHERE AN INCORRECT OUTPUT IS ETHICALLY QUESTIONABLE



ABOUT LIMITS & RISKS Bommasani et al. (2021), Floridi & Chiriatti (2020)

More Limitations

Discrete language tasks

 notable gaps in reading comprehension & comparison tasks

Text synthesis

 starts repeating itself

Tasks that empirically benefit from bidirectionally

• fill-in-the-blank tasks

tasks presuppose looking back and comparing two pieces of content, tasks that require re-reading or carefully considering a long passage

Expensive & inconvenient

- very computing-power hungry model
- become "overqualified" for some specific tasks

ANALYZING ALL KINDS OF SHORT-COMINGS MAY TELL US SOMETHING ABOUT OURSELVES. MY DIRECT OBJECTIVE IS NOT TO DEVELOP A SUPER-INTELLIGENT MACHINE.



What we did

TRAINING DATA: 13 books & 269 articles / interviews



1	Construction of the second state of the second
	Contraction Research Loss
H H	
Did	- work
11811	the complete we at
- · ·	of D. Gen
instr.	A DUDING MARCH
2	B & LY The
	AND THER !!!
	PIET TO C
111	
10	
10	
	1
	VIT 1



max. 1024 tokens

{"prompt":"", "completion":" <paragraph of text of 1-n.txt>"}
{"prompt":"", "completion":" <paragraph of text of 1-n.txt>"}
{"prompt":"", "completion":" <paragraph of text of 1-n.txt>"}

jasonl training data





1828 PROMPTS → 3,275,000 TOKENS

OPEN ENDED GENERATION

- leave the prompt empty
- at least a few thousand examples

Anna Strasser

FORMAT OF OUR PROMPTS Interviewer: [text of the question] Dennett:





